Athletic Performance Enhancement Based on Neurorehabilitation and Sport-Related Skills

Malihe Miri Beydokhti

Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran

Iman Bagheri

Department of Biomedical Engineering, Imam Reza International University, Mashhad

Abstract

To define the brain networks that are involved in performance enhancement and certain forms of sports activities, it is necessary for sports performance assessment to apply neurodiagnostic equipment including MRI, EEG, or fNIRS. This may help in identifying young athletes likely to grow into elite athletes or those with traits that could be groomed to become elite athletes. Training results can also be increased by neuromodulation, which is the controlled modulation of the brain states involved in training. However, it must be demonstrated that in athletes, transcranial direct current stimulation can facilitate motor skill acquisition and performance and if so, that it hyperrenders and is performance-relevant in specific disciplines. Thus, the challenges and opportunities presented by neuromodulation and its application to sports justify extended discourse about its legal implications as well as risks of harm and availability of application domains.

Keywords: Athlete Performance, Physical Activity, Neurodiagnosis, Performance Enhancement, Non-Invasive Stimulation

Introduction

Sportsmen are always on the lookout for a way to enhance their motor skills and ability in the shortest amount of time possible while doing the bare minimum of work. You need to work harder and constantly train to be a good athlete; it depends on the years of training and this phenomenon claims that it takes about ten years of training, or a total of ten thousand hours to become an expert in a sporting activity [1]-[3].

For most sports, performance diagnostics are critical for the athletes because they enable the talents to examine their assets and evaluate if their training programs are effective, as well as to guide their training [4][5]. Performance diagnostics regularly employs the behavioral acts that are related to the business of sports; as well as the performance-relevant physiological signs such as the fluctuation of the heart rate, the concentrations of lactate, and the amount of oxygen that is used [6][7].

When it comes to the evaluation of athletes' physical performance, invasive neurodiagnostic techniques oriented towards evaluating the brain processing when performing specific actions typical to athletes are applied very seldom and use electrical signals, which are sometimes jarring, the central nervous system is in charge of the selective, purposeful kind of muscle contractions known as voluntary movements [8]-[10].

From what neuroscience research has found, there is truth in the fact that optimization of neural activity is key to superior performance, in general, motor ability, and skill learning, in particular [11][12]. Significant variation exists about what are considered neurodiagnostic tools and why these tools are not routinely used to assess performance diagnostics for athletes to define the relationship between the brain and behavior [13][14]

Improving this relationship can contribute so much to the learning process and performance of athletes and there are a number of diagnostic tools available in the field of neurodiagnostic; however, the restricts of current research is limited only to non-invasive brain imaging techniques used to assess athletic performance [15][16].

CognitiveEEG/neurodiagnostic modalities may not be quite often applied in performance diagnostics as, during the past few decades, there was little understanding or recognition that the human brain might also play an instrumental role in boosting athletic prowess in sports [17][18].

Related to athletes, the roles of optimal brain metabolization on motor performance, and the learning of skills are researched extensively and only very little attention has been paid. On the other hand, neurodiagnostic technologies that include MRI and fNIRS are utilized in the regular analysis of brain-behavior correlation regardless of age. Various investigations done to understand the factors of the brain showed that the brain can immediately respond to the changes in the environment it is in [19][20].

According to research findings, gradual movements' task acquisition and movement control depend not solely on the architecture of a human's brain but on neuroplastic adjustment and learning-related cerebral reorganizations too. On the other hand, the data is primarily drawn from more basic learned movement and skill change paradigms that might not have sufficiently captured neuroplasticity changes in dynamic multiple-task domains such as complex sports [21][22].

Further studies should be made to explore how activities in Sports are wired or coded in the brain, especially when undertaking different motions in real-life situations. This article aims at establishing the use of neurodiagnostic technologies in the diagnostic and training paradigms with an effort to establish the nature of the impact that the functionality of the brain has on the performance of athletes in competitive games We believe that the knowledge of the brain-behavior relationship and the consequently generated adaptations through training will enhance athletic performance and enhance the progression of motor capabilities [23]-[25].

Neurodiagnostic Athlete Performance Improvement

Non-invasive brain imaging methods have been used to measure brain adaptations associated with experience in various sports, such as football and table tennis. Research has shown a direct correlation between brain function optimization and athletic performance, with elite football player Neymar using fewer neuronal resources in motor-related regions compared to other sportsmen. Regular training can cause anatomical changes in the brain in addition to functional ones, and there may even be sport-specific structural modifications that show up in brain regions critical for the neuronal processing of skill-specific information.

The brain function of athletes appears to be more efficient than that of non-athletes or lower-level athletes. However, there is a dearth of information regarding brain processing and adaptations during the execution of sport-specific movements, as the evidence about functional brain adaptations is based only on executing simplified and primarily non-sport-specific movements. MRI, a non-invasive brain imaging technique, has been extensively utilized in a sports-related setting due to its high temporal resolution and portable nature. EEG offers a direct evaluation of brain activity by recording voltage variations at the head surface brought on by ionic current flowing through brain neurons.

Many studies have considered EEG as a useful method to analyze neural activity during the execution of sports-related actions, such as rifle shooting, archery, and golf. However, EEG has main drawbacks similar to fMRI: it is more prone to motion artifacts and has a lower spatial resolution than fMRI. This is important for research that aims to characterize where certain brain areas are active during movement execution. Non-invasive brain imaging methods can provide valuable insights into the brain's architecture and processing during sports, particularly in sports like football and table tennis. By understanding the brain's functional and structural changes, researchers can improve their ability to predict training outcomes and improve performance in various sports. Functional neuroimaging response sensing (fNIRS) is a promising non-invasive technique for brain imaging due to its low spatial resolution and low susceptibility to movement artifacts. It allows for the assessment of neuronal activity during sport-specific movements, based on the hemodynamic or blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) response concept. Despite limitations, fNIRS has been shown to be suitable and accurate for monitoring brain activity during simple and difficult motor activities.

Portable fNIRS enables the quantification of brain activity in real-world contexts, making it possible to measure brain activation while performing sports-related actions. Previous studies have successfully studied functional brain adaptations during complex motor tasks such as juggling, balancing, squatting, climbing, playing table tennis, running, and cycling. Research using fNIRS to compare neural correlates of motor competence between athletes and non-athletes is becoming more focused, offering a crucial foundation for neurodiagnostics of motor expertise and talent when combined with cutting-edge techniques like multichannel whole-brain fNIRS, multi-distance fNIRS, and systemic physiological augmented fNIRS.

A variety of non-invasive brain imaging methods may help overcome restrictions on temporal and/or spatial resolution, such as simultaneous fNIRS and EEG recordings. This could provide more insight into whether certain brain networks change during training and when they are active during sport-specific movements.

Training Assessment for Athletes

Neuroplasticity in athletes is an area of research that has attracted much attention in the last decade as the data available highlights that range from short-term training to weeks of training for acquiring motor skills allows for brain structural and functional changes.

Cognitive training was another area identified in this study to be related to levels of success in the training regimes that are specialized for motor coordination; more so, neuroplasticity in learning-related cortical zones is also highly linked to performance. Ever-improved test results in balance activities were observed only in learners who had rather severe structural abnormalities in the brain.

Relationship of Brain Development for Motor Skills The nature of a person's brain seems to dictate the likelihood of their preparedness for training before developing motor proficiency. For instance, those with more GM in the cerebellum, an area vital to complex motor coordination, achieved the best training outcomes in progressive pinch force activities. Nevertheless, the major drawback of this study is that online reaction and training of new motor skills, along with functional neuroplasticity, cannot be measured by MRI scans.

An advantage of fNIRS is the ability of the technique to monitor functional neuroplastic changes during training processes. These findings can be applied to assess and enhance the training for all kinds of athletics possible today.

Further, if neuro diagnostics are used in sports, it could be positively effective in talent diagnostics as it makes potential predictions to youngsters in order to become elite athletes, and their needs to acquire or enhance specific ability or motor efficiency, or their aptitudes to suit a specific sports activity.

Non-Invasive Performance Enhancement and Results

Neuromodulation can be a promising method for enhancing motor execution and enhancing skills that are relevant to the performance of a sports discipline due to the ability to change neuronal processing in certain brain areas. Methods such as tDCS have the potential to influence motor activity by primary evidence indicating that tDCS has different impacts on the resting membrane potential based on the polarity.

From this modulation, one can get either an increase or a decrease in neuronal excitability. It was found that the administration of tDCS for a one-time session enhances motor performance, general skills, or skill learning related to reaction on the lower limb tasks, simple motor tasks as well as in highly complex full body tasks such as balancing. Further, the study helps to understand how tDCS influences leg muscular power and endurance performance during running. For the sham group, the anodal tDCS session seemed to cause a brief improvement of almost 15% in the maximal leg squeeze force in healthy volunteers.

The use of tDCS as a potential helper in athlete performance enhancement has been discussed by several authors in numerous opinion pieces, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. It must also be pointed out that even in the case of athletes, with the help of tDCS, it is possible to enhance isometric muscular strength, endurance, as well as countermovement jumps. However, there exists a need to conduct research in order to establish the importance along effectiveness of its implementation in relation to a particular form of sporting activities.

The extent to which tDCS can be applied to sports, and hence the frequency of the treatment, intensity, and duration of the stimulation, and timing – whether during training, precaution, or competition – remain uncertain. But as it stands applying tDCS outside the laboratory, is still significantly doubted whether it will boost performance during a competition or not, let alone in trained athletes.

Another limitation arising from the current literature concerns the ethical issues that are associated with tDCS, for the purpose of performance enhancement in athletes since there are no clinical studies indicating the possible detrimental effects of tDCS once it is frequently and repeatedly used. However, this is a new technique and to use non-invasive brain stimulation more efficiently we have to know the exact picture of mechanisms at the cellular level and for that, we need some quantitative values of related stimulation parameters.

Conclusion

In sports, therefore the desire is to enhance the performer or the athlete both during practice and actual competition. Training efficacy can be trained in conjunction with performance assessment, such as in sports which involves assessment of the physiological and behavioral variables relevant to sporting activity. Neurodiagnostics is not used frequently in athlete performance diagnostics even though enhanced brain processing speed is paramount for better motor performance and skill acquisition. Thus, the Neurodiagnostic knowledge enhancement through non-invasive imaging techniques such as fNIRS appears to introduce a revolutionary paradigm for integrating motor behavior and training-induced neuroplasticity into a unified framework. It might be pivotal for the training procedures regarding the higher understanding of this brain-behavior interaction during actions specific to a sport to intensify ensuing training outcomes. Furthermore, by targeted Cat tweaking in areas that demonstrated training-related neuroplasticity, invasive and noninvasive brain training like tDCS might have a stronger training outcome. Nevertheless, we would like to stress the fact that before we start considering neuromodulation as a tool that may be permitted to be used to enhance performance in sports, both training and or competition, ethical concerns related to the use of non-invasive brain stimulation during these events have to be addressed.

References

[1] Pavlenko V, Pavlenko Y. Peculiarities of training and competitive activity of sportsmen-sprinters in track and field athletics.

[2] Başkaya G, Bostancı S, Çar B, Konar N. The impact of athletics basic education on students' motor skills and attitude toward athletics. Physical Education of Students. 2023 Aug 30;27(4):177-89.

[3] Svetlana K, Aleksandr C, Marina P, Andrey B. Functional asymmetry and the sports result. Journal of Physical Education and Sport. 2018 Apr 1;18:408-15.

[4] Herman H, Wahab A, Kasanrawali A. The Analysis of Biomotor and Psychomotor Factors on Grounstrok Forhand Skills of BKMF Court Tennis Athletes FIK UNM. InIPEC 2022 Nov 30 (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 31-39).

[5] Piatysotska S, Mulyk V, Huba A, Dolgopolova N, Yefremenko A, Zhernovnikova Y. Study of the psychomotor abilities of athletes in cyclic sports, martial arts and esports. Slobozhanskyi Herald of Science & Sport. 2023 Jan 1;27(1).

[6] Sharma S, Singh G, Sharma M. A comprehensive review and analysis of supervised-learning and soft computing techniques for stress diagnosis in humans. Computers in Biology and Medicine. 2021 Jul 1;134:104450.

[7] Nicolò A, Massaroni C, Schena E, Sacchetti M. The importance of respiratory rate monitoring: From healthcare to sport and exercise. Sensors. 2020 Nov 9;20(21):6396.

[8] Perrey S, Besson P. Studying brain activity in sports performance: Contributions and issues. Progress in brain research. 2018 Jan 1;240:247-67.

[9] Perrey S, The potential of fNIRS, EEG and transcranial current stimulation to probe neural mechanisms of resistance training. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2023 Nov 30;17:1295993.

[10] Crivelli D, Balconi M. Neuroassessment in sports: An integrative approach for performance and potential evaluation in athletes. Frontiers in Psychology. 2022 Apr 14;13:747852.

[11] Marinich V. Genetic and phenotypic markers for successful athletic performance forecast. Pedagogy and Psychology of Sport. 2018 May 18;4(2):85-94.

[12] Raab M. Comparative analysis of psychophysiological states among Croatian and Ukrainian wrestling. Journal of Physical Education and Sport. 2022 Aug 31;22(8):1832-8.

[13] Korobeynikov G, Korobeynikova L, Bulatova M, Mishko V, Cretu MF, Yarmak O, Khmelnitska I, Kudria M. Relationship of successful formation of choreographic skills in young athletes with psychophysiological characteristics.

[14] Kitazawa H, Hasegawa K, Aruga D, Tanaka M. Potential genetic contributions of the central nervous system to a predisposition to elite athletic traits: state-of-the-art and future perspectives. Genes. 2021 Mar 5;12(3):371.

[15] Tripathi A, Dhanju S, Rowson S, Smith E, Goforth M, Rogers M, Brolinson PG. Greater Accuracy in Concussion Diagnosis in Collegiate Athletes through the use of Blood Brain Biomarkers. Neurology. 2019 Oct 1;93(14_Supplement_1):S5-.

[16] Chiang HS, Hsiao KL, Liu LC. EEG-based detection model for evaluating and improving learning attention. Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering. 2018 Dec;38:847-56.

[17] Tenney JR, Gloss D, Arya R, Kaplan PW, Lesser R, Sexton V, Nuwer M. Practice guideline: use of quantitative EEG for the diagnosis of mild traumatic brain injury: Report of the Guideline Committee of the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology. 2021 Jul 1;38(4):287-92.

[18] Miranda P, Cox CD, Alexander M, Danev S, Lakey JR. Overview of current diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic use of EEG and EEG-based markers of cognition, mental, and brain health. Integrative Molecular Medicine. 2019;6:1-9.

[19] Gur RE, Moore TM, Rosen AF, Barzilay R, Roalf DR, Calkins ME, Ruparel K, Scott JC, Almasy L, Satterthwaite TD, Shinohara RT. Burden of environmental adversity associated with psychopathology, maturation, and brain behavior parameters in youths. JAMA psychiatry. 2019 Sep 1;76(9):966-75.

[20] Andrews JL, Ahmed SP, Blakemore SJ. Navigating the social environment in adolescence: The role of social brain development. Biological Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 15;89(2):109-18.

[21] Pacheco MM, Lafe CW, Newell KM. Search strategies in the perceptual-motor workspace and the acquisition of coordination, control, and skill. Frontiers in Psychology. 2019 Aug 14;10:468672.
[22] Button C, Seifert L, Chow JY, Davids K, Araujo D. Dynamics of skill acquisition: An ecological dynamics approach. Human Kinetics Publishers; 2020 Jan 28.
[23] Ladouce S, Donaldson DI, Dudchenko PA, Ietswaart M. Mobile EEG identifies the re-allocation of attention during real-world activity. Scientific reports. 2019 Nov 1;9(1):15851.
[24] Schmidt RA, Lee TD, Winstein C, Wulf G, Zelaznik HN. Motor control and learning: A behavioral emphasis. Human kinetics; 2018 Oct 30.
[25] Park JL, Dudchenko PA, Donaldson DI. Navigation in real-world environments: New opportunities afforded by advances in mobile brain imaging. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2018 Sep 11;12:361.